Financial Statements  
and Declarations of Conflicts of Interest in the Congresses of the Latin American 
Network for Legislative Transparency

The Latin American Network for Legislative Transparency (the Network) is a network of civil society organizations within Latin America, that actively promotes transparency, access to information and responsibility in the region’s congresses. Its mission is to raise transparency standards in the legislative powers of the region actively promoting good practices. The network works to ensure that congresses are representative, transparent, accesible, accountable and efficient.

The aim of this report is to investigate how the financial statements and the declarations of conflicts of interest from lawmakers are presented and published in each country of the Network. Public knowledge of the patrimony and interests of our representatives is advisable in order to prevent corruption. At the same time, in knowing their interests, the legislative process becomes transparent and the relationship between legislators and citizens is strengthened.

Legislators of the five member countries are required to present a sworn financial statement (affidavit) before and after the conclusion of their mandate. In Argentina, Colombia, Mexico and Peru it is also required to present this statement on an annual basis. Chile only requires lawmakers to update the statement during the mandate only in case of significant changes in their patrimony. Through these financial statements legislators declare under oath what they own in terms of personal property, and this statement is considered to be accurate and reliable. This generates a legal responsibility for the declarant, because s/he has to prove the accuracy of what he really owns, knowing that he may be judged in court if fraud is demonstrated.

In Chile and Colombia, the legislators should also present a declaration of conflicts of interest, through which they present their professional and financial activities to allow these to be judged publicly, thus guaranteeing impartiality and justice in their decisions.

Chile, Peru and Colombia are the countries that show the most transparency in this matter. On the one hand, Chile publishes these financial and conflicts of interest statements for both house representatives and senators on the website for each chamber. On the other hand, Peru periodically publishes, under Law 27,482, the annual financial statements, not only on the Congress web site but also on the website for the “Contraloría General de la República”, and in the official publication “El Peruano”. Although it is just a summary of the statements, it allows the citizens to evaluate if there is a patrimonial imbalance and the subsequent illicit enrichment. Moreover, Colombia publishes its legislators’
financial statements and conflict of interest statements at the beginning of their four year mandate in the Congress Gazette (Gaceta del Congreso) (publications that are also physically available at the Library of Congress and in electronic format on the website of the Senate Secretariat), and also publishes the statements of the Senators throughout their mandate on their website.

On the website of the House of Representatives of Argentina there is a list that discloses which Congressmen have presented their financial statements, but there are no actual documents available. In the case of the Argentine Senate, there is no information published in this respect on their website. Although the statements are not available online, citizens may request to have access to this information. In both cases, it is a long and cumbersome process to finally obtain these documents. Lastly, Mexico is the least transparent of the five countries of the Network regarding this matter; despite the fact that Congressmen have the legal obligation to present their financial statements, that there is a Transparency and Public Access to Information Federal Law and that this right is also protected by the National Constitution, the Congress of the Union does not make them available to the citizenship. In Mexico, there is no obligation to make these declarations public and this depends on the personal will of the Congressman or Senator. Nowadays, it is practically impossible for the citizens to have access to these documents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication of the Financial Statements</th>
<th>Argentina</th>
<th>Chile</th>
<th>Colombia</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Peru</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower House</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication of the Interests Statements</td>
<td>Lower House</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, the obligations before mentioned are not always thoroughly met in some of the countries of the Network. For example, on Argentina’s Lower House web site, we find less than 25% of all the members of the Chamber who presented financial statements. (CHECK) In the same way, Peru also presents some shortcomings. Although the financial statements are published on the website of the “Contraloría General de la República”, those corresponding to the 2009-2010 period are incomplete; and, even until April of this year, only 44% of the legislators had presented their 2010-2011 financial statement to the “Contraloría”.

In the case of Chile and Argentina, they do not have a controlling body that verifies the accuracy of financial statements provided by the legislators. In Chile, a bill has been introduced in Congress at the end of April of

Las organizaciones que conforman la Red Latinoamericana por la Transparencia Legislativa son:
- Asociación por los Derechos Civiles, Argentina; CIPPEC, Argentina; Directorio Legislativo, Argentina;
- Poder Ciudadano, Argentina; Ciudadanos Inteligente, Chile; Chile Transparente, Chile; ProAcceso, Chile; Chile;
- Congreso Visible, Colombia; Instituto de Ciencia Política, Colombia; Transparencia por Colombia, Colombia;
- Fundar Centro de Análisis e Información, México; Impacto Legislativo, México;
- Ciudadanos al Día, Perú; Reflexión Democrática, Perú; Transparencia Perú, Perú.
Two experiences that undermine legislative transparency:

Directorio Legislativo, Argentina.

Directorio Legislativo Foundation, from Argentina, requests the financial statement from each national legislator every year. The mechanism to access these statements is far from institutionalized. The process to obtain them every year is extremely cumbersome. In February 2010, the Foundation requested the Administrative Secretariat from the Lower House the financial statements from all the chamber members. After the request was made to the mentioned Secretary, it was sent to the “Direccion de Asuntos Juridicos”, that requested that the Foundation proved that is it a legal entity and presented its founding documents and credentials, among other documents.

After a long wait and several formalities, in February 2011 the access to the financial statements was finally possible. Even though the information obtained was complete, the fact that the delivery was delayed one whole year, proves an extreme weakness in the free access to public information.

FUNDAR, México.

Fundar, from Mexico, requested access to the financial statements presented by the lower house legislators to their chamber. The answer obtained was that the chamber was not qualified to give out that type of information, and that it should be requested to the “Auditoría Superior de Fiscalización”. The information was requested to that controlling body, but the answer referred to an agreement with the House of
Representatives, which established that the financial statements may only by filed, but not published. Therefore, the financial statements were not accessible, despite article 6th of the National Constitution and the Transparency and Access to Public Information Federal Law.

After this brief analysis, we observe that there are differences among the Network countries in terms of the will to publish these documents, which should be publicly available in order to guarantee a better control from the citizenship. Peru, Colombia and Chile are shown as the countries with the most transparent practices in the matter, Argentina is half-way and Mexico should increase transparency within its Legislative Power. Although Mexico has a Transparency Law and the right to access to public information is constitutionally recognized, if a citizen wants to access a financial statement of a legislator, the system’s opacity makes it impossible: no governmental body provides this information to the public since they are not competent. Moreover, Argentina should strengthen its institutions by trying to increase the system’s transparency and standardize its procedures, in order to shorten the delivery deadlines of the information. The absence of an Access to Public Information Law in Argentina does not facilitate the quick and opportune access to this kind of information.

Public access to information on the patrimony and interests, professional and economic, of legislators before, during and after their mandate is essential, in order to control their public activities and prevent corruption cases and conflicts of interest which may be avoided through transparency and publication of information. Legislators themselves should promote the strengthening of their chambers, as well as insist on promoting the accountability of their institutions through their websites.

### Summary of the current legislation in each country of the Latin American Network for Legislative Transparency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Argentina</th>
<th>Chile</th>
<th>Colombia</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Peru</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>¿Are there statements for conflicts of interest?</th>
<th>Constitutional Law (18.575)</th>
<th>Responsibility Federal Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. Only the ones who do not access the public function by universal suffrage must include in their financial statement the professional and economic antecedents, in order to avoid conflicts of interests.</td>
<td>Yes, it should include detailed information of the professional and economic activities (art. 5°C)</td>
<td>The legislator should declare himself disabled to participate in votes or debates which affect him in some way, or his married couple, mate or any relative between the fourth consanguinity grade or second affinity, or first civil grade or his company partner/s, since they are in direct interest in the decision.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial Statements presentation</th>
<th>Beginning of the mandate and at the end of mandate</th>
<th>Beginning of the mandate and at the end of mandate</th>
<th>Beginning of the mandate and in its conclusion. Annually too, during the whole mandate</th>
<th>Beginning of the mandate and in its conclusion. Annually too, during the whole mandate</th>
<th>Beginning of the mandate and in its conclusion. Annually too, during the whole mandate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Organisms</th>
<th>Ethic Public National Committee (never created)</th>
<th>Ethic and Parliamentary Transparency Committee from each chamber (it does not verify the information)</th>
<th>Ethic Committee and Congresal Estatute</th>
<th>Public Function Secretary</th>
<th>Administrativo General Direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

La tabla muestra información sobre el Código Constitucional (18.575) y el Código Federal sobre Responsabilidad, incluyendo los diferentes organismos públicos de transparencia que conforman la Red Latinoamericana por la Transparencia Legislativa.

Las organizaciones que conforman la Red Latinoamericana por la Transparencia Legislativa son:
- Asociación por los Derechos Civiles, Argentina; CIPPEC, Argentina; Directorio Legislativo, Argentina;
- Poder Ciudadano, Argentina; Ciudadano Inteligente, Chile; Chile Transparente, Chile; ProAcceso, Chile; Chile;
- Congreso Visible, Colombia; Instituto de Ciencia Política, Colombia; Transparencia por Colombia, Colombia;
- Fundar Centro de Análisis e Información, México; Impacto Legislativo, México;
- Ciudadanos al Día, Perú; Reflexión Democrática, Perú; Transparencia Perú, Perú.
Las organizaciones que conforman la Red Latinoamericana por la Transparencia Legislativa son:
Asociación por los Derechos Civiles, Argentina; CIPPEC, Argentina; Directorio Legislativo, Argentina;
Poder Ciudadano, Argentina; Ciudadano Inteligente, Chile; Chile Transparente, Chile; ProAcceso, Chile; Chile;
Congreso Visible, Colombia; Instituto de Ciencia Política, Colombia; Transparencia por Colombia, Colombia;
Fundar Centro de Análisis e Información, México; Impacto Legislativo, México;
Ciudadanos al Día, Perú; Reflexión Democrática, Perú; Transparencia Perú, Perú.